Form 0-135-150 5/2009

USD#

347

USD Form 150 2009-2010 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget - Lines 1 through 19

1.	Estimated 9-20-2009 FTE enrollment (from Table I or Table IV) (Exclude 4 yr old at-risk FTE.)	=	320.0
2.	Estimated 9-20-2009 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (e) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 6.5 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 4)	=	6.5
3.	Total Estimated 9-20-2009 FTE Enrollment (Line 1 + Line 2)	=	326.5
4.	Estimated low enrollment and high enrollment for districts. 9-20-2009 FTE enrollment (from line 3) 326.5 x 0.475183 factor (from Table II or pages 5, 6)	= <u> </u>	155.1
5.	Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 9-20-2009 bilingual FTE (a) 22.3333 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 5) x 0.395	=	8.8
6.	Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 9-20-2009 vocational education FTE(b) 10.4500 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 6) x 0.5	=	5.2
7.	Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment(c). Number of eligible students that qualify for free lunches as of 9-20-2009 98 + 0 (Table IV, Line 7) x 0.456	=	44.7
8.	Estimated High At-Risk Weighting. (Can only qualify for one of the following) District's calculated free lunch percentage: (Comes from Table VI, Line 4) 30.81%		
	District's calculated students per square mile: Line 3 / square miles in district = 326.5 / 340 = 1.0		
	 a. Number of students eligible for free lunch (at least 50%) b. Number of students eligible for free lunches at 35.1% and 212.1 students per square mile. c. Number of students eligible for free lunches (40-50%) (98+0) x 0.1 (98+0) x 0.06 	=_	0.0 0.0 0.0
9.	Est. Non-Proficient student weighting. Number of non-proficient students. (g) (40 x 0.0465)		1.9
10	. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2009 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25	=	0.0
11	. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5)	=	47.6
12	. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 4)	=	0.0
	Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Board of Tax Appeal 0 ÷ \$4,218		0.0
	Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 384,000 ÷ \$4,218		91.0
15	Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt apprvd by Board of Tax Appeal 0 ÷ \$4,218		0.0
16	Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment		0.0
	Estimated 2009-2010 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 16) 680.8 x \$4,218		\$2,871,614
	Estimated Cost of Living weighting \$0 ÷ \$4,218	=	0.0
	(maximum allowed for this district) (Amt district will use, up to the maximum)		
19	Estimated 2009-2010 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 680.8 x \$4,218	=_	\$2,871,614
	cal Option Budget See Form 155		
20	Estimated 2009-10 LOB General Fund budget (excludes 2009-10 Spec Ed and FHSU weightings & includes 2008-09 Spec Ed)		<u>ቀ</u> ን ሰ <u>ሰን ን</u> ድን
	U INDO 3 TRICHAN 13 + 16 + 18) = 680 8 V W/ /132 = W361//682 +	_	あさ いいさ つだい

(Lines 3 through 13 + 15 + 18) = $589.8 \times \$4,433 = \$2614583 + \underline{388,779}$ (2008-09 Spec Ed) = $\underline{\$3,003,362}$

(a) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an approved bilingual class on 9-20-2009 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 134.0 ÷ 6 = 22.3333 (Record on Line 5)						
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational education in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2009 and dividing by 6 (cannot clock hours						
 (c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school (d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at 100 february pear old at risk students are counted as .5 FTE. USD must be approximately of Education. (f) Comes from form 118 (line 20). (g) 2008-2009 Non Proficient students (excluding free students). (NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the following Monday will be 	at least a 25% LOB. proved by the Kansas State Depa	rtment				
TABLE		USD#	347			
Declining Enrolli	nent Calculation					
1. September 20, 2008, FTE and February 20, 2009 FTE enrollment (Exc	ludes 4 yr old at risk students.)	=	= 296.1			
2. September 20, 2009, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students	i.)	=	= 320.0			
3. 3 YR AVG FTE: (<u>325.4</u> + <u>296.1</u>	+					
(9/20/2007 FTE)* (line 1) 320.0)/3= 313.8		=	= 313.8			
320.0)/3= 313.8 (line 2) (goes to line 3) * Excludes 4 yr old at risk students, but includes 2/20/2008 military students	ents.					
4. FTE enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 1, 2, or 3)(Goes to page 1, line 1 if no military provision; see Table IV.)						
TABLE II Low and High Enrollment Weighting						
Enrollment of District	Factor					
	1.014331 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1 237500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504					
'E' is 9-20-2009 FTE Enrollment (from Page 1, line 3)						
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0) {[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991	FOR COMPUTED FAI SEE 2009-2010 LOW AND HIGH ENROLLM TABLE (PAGES 5 AN	ENROLLMENT IENT FACTOR				
TAB Transportatio						
1. Area of district in square miles 9-20-2009.		=	= 340.0			
2. All public pupils transported or for whom transportation is being made a who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Estimated)	vailable 9-20-2009 175.0_+	0.0 (Table IV) =	=175.0			
3. Index of density = Line 2	175.0 divided by Line 1	(Line 8) 340.0	= 0.51			
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine amount from density table on	attached pages 7 and 8.	=	= 0.2720			
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20-2009 number of reside 2.5 miles (line 2)175.0 _ x	ent students over 0.2720 factor (Line 4) (to Line	11, Page 1) =	=47.6			

USD# 347

TABLE IV House Bill 2059 - Military Provision

1.	Estimated Adjusted 9-20-2009 FTE (Table 1, Line 4, Form 150)	=	320.0	
2.	Estimated 2-20-2010 FTE (excludes 4 yr old at risk students) of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2009 (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 1. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)	=	0.0	
3.	Estimated FTE Enrollment count for 2009-2010 (Line 1 + Line 2) to Line 1, Form 150	=	320.0	
N	umber of students in Line 2 with the following weighting factors:			
4.	Estimated 2-20-2010 4yr old FTE (add to Line 2, Form 150)	=	0.0	
5.	Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 2-20-2010 bilingual FTE (a) 0.0000 x 0.395 (add to Line 5, Form 150)	=	0.0	
6.	Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 2-20-2010 vocational education FTE (b) 0.0000 x 0.5 (add to Line 6, Form 150)	=	0.0	
7.	7. Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment (c). Number of students eligible that qualify for free lunches as of 2-20-2010			
8.	 Estimated 2-20-2010 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2009 transported or for whom transportation is being made available 2-20-2010 who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (goes to Table III, Line 2, Form 150) 			
9.	Estimated weighted 2-20-2010 FTE for New Facilities (d) FTE 0.0 x 0.25 (add to Line 10, Form 150)	=	0.0	
(a	FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an approved bilingual class on 2-20-2010 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours			
(b	FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 2-20-2010 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours			
(c	USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school district.			
(d	In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB.			
••••	TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)			
1.	Estimated 9/20/2009 FTE Virtual Enrollment 0.0 X 1.05	=	0.0	
2.	Estimated Non-Proficient* Virtual Students (headcount) 0 X 0.25	=	0.0	
3.	Estimated Virtual Students Taking AP** Courses 1st Semester		0.0	
4.	Estimated Weighted FTE Virtual Enrollment		0.0	

^{*} This provision applies to pupils that would qualify for paid or reduced priced lunches, and did not meet proficient in Math or Reading State Assessments in the prior year. The virtual school must have a virtual at-risk pupil assistance plan on file with KSDE.

** The Advanced Placement (AP) course is not available in the home district of the virtual pupil. The home

district is either more than 200 square miles or has an enrollment of at least 260 pupils.

[&]quot;Virtual School" means any school or educational program that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning technologies which predominately use internet-based methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in separate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic progress toward the next grade level and matriculation from kindergarten through high school graduation; (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.

TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation

1.	Calculated free lunch percenta (Page 1, Line 7 total s	0	current year gible for Free Lunches) / (Page 1, Line	93) = 98 + 0 / 32	26.5 = 30.02%	=	30.02%
2.	District's calculated free lunch	percentage	e for the prior year			=	29.52%
3.	3 YR AVG %: (32.89% (9/20/2007)		30.02% (line 1)	+			
	29.52% (line 2))/3=	30.81% (goes to line 3)			=	30.81%
4.	Free lunch percentage to be u	sed for bud	lget purposes (higher of line 1, 2, or 3) (Goes to page	1, line 8)	=	30.81%

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

a) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2009-10 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new addition will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for examp	ole:	281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4218 = \$296947

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =		
Example:	New classroom A =	105	students for the day
	New classroom B =	154	students for the day
	New classroom C =	133	students for the day
	New classroom D =	121	students for the day
	TOTAL =	513	
	divide by	7	class periods
	=	73.3	FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times 4218 = 77189

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget.