Form 0-135-150 5/2010

Local Option Budget -- See Form 155

USD#

347

= \$3,417,848

USD Form 150 2010-2011 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

1. Estimated 9-20-2010 FTE enrollment (from Table I or Table IV) (Exclude 4 yr old at-risk FTE.)	353.0
2. Estimated 9-20-2010 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (e) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) (Table IV, Line 4) =	5.0
3. Total Estimated 9-20-2010 FTE Enrollment (Line 1 + Line 2)	358.0
4. Estimated low enrollment and high enrollment for districts. 9-20-2010 FTE enrollment (from line 3) 358.0 x 0.464481 factor (from Table II or pages 5, 6) =	166.3
5. Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 9-20-2010 bilingual FTE (a) 40.0000 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 5) x 0.395	15.8
6. Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 9-20-2010 vocational education FTE(b) 13.3333 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 6) x 0.5 =	6.7
7. Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment(c). Number of eligible students that qualify for free lunches as of 9-20-2010 (Table IV, Line 7) x 0.456 =	73.0
8. Estimated High At-Risk Weighting. (Can only qualify for one of the following) District's calculated free lunch percentage: (Comes from Table VI, Line 4) 44.80%	
District's calculated students per square mile: Line 3 / square miles in district = 358 / 340 = 1.1	
a. Number of students eligible for free lunch (at least 50%) b. Number of students eligible for free lunches at 35.1% and 212.1 students per square mile. c. Number of students eligible for free lunches (40-50%) (160+0) x 0.1 = (160+0) x 0.06 = (160+0) x	0.0 0.0 9.6
9. Est. Non-Proficient student weighting. Number of non-proficient students. (g) (18 x 0.0465) =	9.0
10. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2010 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 =	0.0
11. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5)	53.1
12. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 4)	0.0
13. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0_ ÷ \$4,012 =	0.0
14. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 484,000 ÷ \$4,012 =	120.6
15. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt apprvd by Court of Tax Appeals ÷ \$4,012 =	0.0
16. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment =	0.0
17. Estimated 2010-2011 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 16) x \$4,012 =	\$3,225,247
18. Estimated Cost of Living weighting \$0 ± \$4,012 =	0.0
(maximum allowed for this district) (Amt district will use, up to the maximum) 19. Estimated 2010-2011 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 803.9 x \$4,012 =	\$3,225,247

20. Estimated 2009-10 LOB General Fund budget (excludes 2009-10 Spec Ed and FHSU weightings & includes 2008-09 Spec Ed)

approved bilingual class on 9-20-2010 and dividing by 6 (cannot clock hours $240.0 \div 6 =$	not exceed 6 hours for an individual student).	Total	
b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational education students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2010 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 13.3333 (Record on Line 6)			
 (c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for (d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have (e) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 FTE. USD mof Education. (f) Comes from form 118 (line 20). (g) 2009-2010 Non Proficient students (excluding free students). (NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the following Monday) 	adopted at least a 25% LOB. nust be approved by the Kansas State Depart	ment	
Declini	TABLE I ing Enrollment Calculation	USD#	347
1. September 20, 2009, FTE and February 20, 2010 FTE enrollr	ment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students.)	=.	353.
2. September 20, 2010, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk	k students.)	=	353.
3. 3 YR AVG FTE: (296.1 + 353 (9/20/2008 FTE)* (line			
353.0)/3= 334 (line 2) (goes to * Excludes 4 yr old at risk students, but includes 2/20/2009 mi	line 3)	=.	334.
4. FTE enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 1, 2, or 3)(•	see Table IV.) =	353.
Lowerd	TABLE II		
Enrollment of District	High Enrollment Weighting Factor		
0 - 99.9 100 - 299.9 300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over	1.014331 {[7337 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504		
'E' is 9-20-2010 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from Page 1, line 3)			
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0) {[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991	FOR COMPUTED FAI SEE 2010-2011 LOW AND HIGH ENROLLM TABLE (PAGES 5 AN	ENROLLMENT IENT FACTOR	
Tra	TABLE III		
Area of district in square miles 9-20-2010.		=	340.
All public pupils transported or for whom transportation is being	ng made available 9-20-2010	-	2.0.
who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Estimated)	195.0 +	0.0 (Table IV) = (Line 8)	195.
3. Index of density = Line 2	195.0 divided by Line 1	340.0 =	0.5
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine amount from densit	y table on attached pages 7 and 8.	=.	0.272
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20-2010 number 2.5 miles (line 2) 195.0 _ x		11, Page 1) =	53.

	TABLE IV USD# House Bill 2059 - Military Provision	347		
1.	Estimated Adjusted 9-20-2010 FTE (Table 1, Line 4, Form 150)	=	353.0	
2.	Estimated 2-20-2011 FTE (excludes 4 yr old at risk students) of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2010 (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 1. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)	=	0.0	
3.	Estimated FTE Enrollment count for 2010-2011 (Line 1 + Line 2) to Line 1, Form 150	=	353.0	
N	umber of students in Line 2 with the following weighting factors:			
4.	Estimated 2-20-2011 4yr old FTE (add to Line 2, Form 150)	=	0.0	
5.	Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 2-20-2011 bilingual FTE (a) 0.0000 x 0.395 (add to Line 5, Form 150)	=	0.0	
6. Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 2-20-2011 vocational education FTE (b) 0.0000 x 0.5 (add to Line 6, Form 150) =				
7.	Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment (c). Number of students eligible that qualify for free lunches as of 2-20-2011	=	0.0	
8. Estimated 2-20-2011 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2010 transported or for whom transportation is being made available 2-20-2011 who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (goes to Table III, Line 2, Form 150)				
9.	Estimated weighted 2-20-2011 FTE for New Facilities (d) FTE 0.0 x 0.25 (add to Line 10, Form 150)	=	0.0	
(a) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an approved bilingual class on 2-20-2011 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 0.0 ÷ 6 = 0.0000 (Record on Line 5)				
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 2-20-2011 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours				
(c	(c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school district.			
(d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB.				
TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)				
1.	Estimated 9/20/2010 FTE Virtual Enrollment X 1.05	=	0.0	
2.	Estimated Non-Proficient* Virtual Students (headcount) X 0.25	=	0.0	
3.	1st Semester 2nd Semester 2nd Semester 2nd Semester 2nd Semester 3nd Semester		0.0	
4.	Estimated Weighted FTE Virtual Enrollment		0.0	

^{*} This provision applies to pupils that would qualify for paid or reduced priced lunches, and did not meet proficient in Math or Reading State Assessments in the prior year. The virtual school must have a virtual at-risk pupil assistance plan on file with KSDE.

"Virtual School" means any school or educational program that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning technologies which predominately use internet-based methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in separate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic progress toward the next grade level and matriculation from kindergarten through high school graduation; (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.

^{**} The Advanced Placement (AP) course is not available in the home district of the virtual pupil. The home district is either more than 200 square miles or has an enrollment of at least 260 pupils.

TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation

Calculated free lunch percentage for the curre (Page 1, Line 7 total students eligible)		1, Line 3) = 160 + 0 / 358 = 44.69°	% = <u> </u>	44.69%
2. District's calculated free lunch percentage for	the prior year		=	44.80%
3. 3 YR AVG %: (29.52% + (9/20/2008 %)*	44.69% (line 1)	+		
44.80%)/3=	39.67% (goes to line 3)		=	39.67%
4. Free lunch percentage to be used for budget	purposes (higher of line 1, 2, c	or 3) (Goes to page 1, line 8)	=	44.80%

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

a) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2010-11 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new addition will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for examp	ole:	281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4012 = \$282445

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =	
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	105 students for the day 154 students for the day 133 students for the day 121 students for the day 513
	divide by = .	7 class periods 73.3 FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times 4012 = 73420

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget.